

# CET/CPD review

Natalie Michaux, project lead



# Original proposals

- **Name**
- **Underpinning**
- **Domains**
- **Approval system**
- **Reflection**
- **Audit**



# What's staying the same?

- Cycle duration
- Points requirements for all registrants, including need for interactive points
- Specialty requirements remain same
- Will still need to plan learning for cycle
- Peer review for optoms, TPs and CLOs

# Consultation

- 12 weeks from May to August 2020
- Mixed methods approach
- 484 responses to survey from variety of stakeholders, including approvers
- In-depth interviews and focus groups



# Feedback

- Broadly positive, with little negative impacts foreseen
- Changes to name (95%) and domains (83%) were seen as having the most positive impact on registrants, with changes to the approval process following closely behind (67%)
- Feeling that clear communication of change and support to adapt will be key to success

# Potential amendments



- Shorter CPD sessions being eligible for points
- ‘Non-approved’ CPD having fewer restrictions as to design
- ...extension of peer review?

# Still to do...

- Finer detail of changes to processes underpinning the scheme – audit, MyCET system development, approval for ‘provisional’ providers – throughout 2021
- Policies being tweaked and will be communicated to providers in **January 2021**
- Continuing opportunities to collaborate and contribute

# Any questions?

- Pop them into the Q&A now
- Or, if you'd rather ask privately, email me:  
[nmichaux@optical.org](mailto:nmichaux@optical.org)

